

PHILADELPHIA, PA 191072950

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCUNITED STATES PAtent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION N FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/696,904 10/30/2003 Lloyd A. Gross 27109 USA 23307 EXAMINER 7590 09/20/2004 SYNNESTVEDT & LECHNER, LLP BARNEY, SETH E 2600 ARAMARK TOWER ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1101 MARKET STREET

3752 DATE MAILED: 09/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/696,904	GROSS ET AL.

Examiner

Art Unit

Seth Barney 3752 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**Period for Reply** 

THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). The reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status				
1)  🔀	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 October 2003</u> .			
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.			
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dienociti	on of Claims			
	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
0)23	Claim(s) 1-25 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applicati	on Papers			
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
_	-			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:				
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
	·			
Attachment	(s)			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)			
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:			

''	 MOTICE	Oi
^\	N 1 - 41	

Art Unit: 3752

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: A) Figures 1-5 B) Figure 6 C) Figures 7-9 D) Figures 10 and 11

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, at least claims 1-3, and 20 are considered to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

Art Unit: 3752

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. A telephone call was made to Gary Hecht on 9/9/2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Seth Barney whose telephone number is currently (703) 308-2603. Effective November 22, 2004 the telephone number will be (571) 272-4896. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-4:00pm (Mon-Fri).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Mar can be reached on (703)308-2087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,904

Art Unit: 3752

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Seth Barney Examiner Art Unit 3752

SB

Act Barry

MICHAEL MAR

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700